United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

	ame of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		I. Shadur	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CA	ASE NUMBER	01	C 214	DATE	1/16	/2001		
	CASE TITLE		Patricia Petersen vs. Scott Cordes, M.D.					
МО	TION:	[In the following box (of the motion being pr	a) indicate the party filing resented.]	the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defe	ndant, 3rd party plaintiff, ar	nd (b) state briefly the nature		
DO	CKET ENTRY:							
(1)	☐ Filed	motion of [use listing	ng in "Motion" box a	bove.]				
(2)	☐ Brief	Brief in support of motion due						
(3)	☐ Answ	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due						
(4)	□ Rulin	g/Hearing on	at	·				
(5)	☐ Status	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(6)	☐ Pretri	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(7)		Trial[set for/re-set for] onat						
(8)	☐ [Bend	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at						
(9)	☐ This c	This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] \$\sumset\$ \sumset \text{FRCP4(m)} \sumset \text{General Rule 21} \sumset \text{FRCP41(a)(1)} \sumset \text{FRCP41(a)(2)}.						
(10)	retained here	e, although Dr. Co	rdes' counsel sho	um Opinion and Orduld take the approprial a status hearing on l	ate steps to cure the	e remaining flaws		
				•••				
(11)	 		er attached to the orig	inal minute order.]				
	No notices required, a	dvised in open court.				Document Number		
1	No notices required.	ne's staff		-	number of notices	William Control		
<u> </u>	Notices mailed by judge's staff. Notified counsel by telephone.				JAN 17 200			
	Docketing to mail notices.			-	date docketed	7		
	Mail AO 450 form.		11		(). docketing deputy initials	\sim		
	Copy to judge/magist	rate judge. I	LECO FOR DOCK	ETING	1/16/2001			
	SNI	courtroom	ULJAN 16 PM	3: 53	date mailed notice			
	SN deputy's initials			received in erk's Office	SN mailing deputy initials			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

DO	C	KŁ	:	E	D
IAM				_	

PATRICIA PETERSEN, e	etc.,)			JAN 1 7 2001
	Plaintiff,)			OAN 1 7 2001
v.)	No.	01 C 214	
SCOTT CORDES, M.D.,	et al.,			
	Defendants.)			

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On January 2, 2001 this Court issued a brief memorandum opinion and order ("Opinion") remanding, to the Circuit Court of Cook County, Case No. 00 C 8111--a case involving the identical underlying action that this case does -- by reason of a jurisdictional flaw in the notice of removal in that earlier case. the same defendant (Dr. Scott Cordes) has filed an Amended Notice for Removal, this time curing the specific flaw that had been identified in the Opinion: Dr. Cordes has now obtained and attached the written consent of co-defendant Dr. Daryl O'Connor to Dr. Cordes' action in having removed the case the second time around.

Unfortunately Dr. Cordes' counsel has not been entirely meticulous this time either. Thus Dr. O'Connor's affidavit speaks of his Chicago residence rather than his state of citizenship, an error that our Court of Appeals teaches fails to confirm subject matter jurisdiction--see Held v. Held, 137 F.3d 998, 1000 (7th Cir. 1998), quoting <u>Guaranty Nat'l Title Co. v.</u>

<u>J.E.G. Assocs.</u>, 101 F.3d 57, 59 (7th Cir. 1996):

Of course, allegations of residence are insufficient to establish diversity jurisdiction. It is well-settled that "[w]hen the parties allege residence but not citizenship, the court must dismiss the suit."

What is also missing from Dr. Cordes' current submission is the information as to when <u>Dr. O'Connor</u> first came into possession of information from which it could have been ascertained that the underlying action was removable.

But this Court has no desire to continue to play ping pong with the state court. Although Dr. Cordes' Amended Notice ¶2 mistakenly says that the O'Connor affidavit refers to the latter's Illinois citizenship, that error is surely curable with a moment's work. And the prospect of Dr. O'Connor having learned about removability earlier than Dr. Cordes, so as to render the current removal untimely, seems extraordinarily remote.

Accordingly this action will be retained here, although Dr. Cordes' counsel should take the appropriate steps to cure the remaining flaws identified in this opinion. And this action is now set for an initial status hearing at 9 a.m. February 26, 2001.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: January 16, 2001